1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	May 27, 2016	
5	Concord, New	Hampshire
6	D	DC 16 447
7	RE	DG 16-447 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH
8		NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES: Petition to Amend to
9		Include Managed Expansion Program Rates. (Prehearing conference)
10	DD 7 C 7 V 7	Chairman Martin D. Hanishans Dragiding
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
12		Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
13		Sandy Deno, Clerk
14		
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth
16		Natural Gas) Corp.: Michael J. Sheehan
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
18		Nicholas Cicale, Esq. Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Cons. Adv.
19		Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. PUC Staff: Paul B. Dexter, Esq.
21		Stephen Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Alexander F. Speidel, Esq.
22		Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division
23	Court Repo	rter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		



1 PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this afternoon in Docket DG 16-447, which is Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. filing for a proposed tariff regarding Managed Expansion Program -- or, its Managed Expansion Program. We issued an order suspending the tariff so the merits could be investigated.

We're here for a prehearing conference, following which there will be a technical session for you to work through whatever scheduling and other issues can be dealt with.

Before we go any further, let's take appearances.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Mike Sheehan, for Liberty

Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas). And with

me, to my right, are David Simek, Bill Clark,

and, to my far right, Steve Hall.

MR. CICALE: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. Nicholas Cicale, here for the

Office of Consumer Advocate, on behalf of

residential ratepayers. Along with me is

1 Assistant Consumer Advocate, Dr. Chattopadhyay. MR. DEXTER: Paul Dexter, for the 2 3 Commission Staff. With me is Steve Frink. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any 5 preliminary matters we need to deal with before 6 hearing the Parties initial positions? 7 MR. SHEEHAN: None from the Company. MR. DEXTER: None from the Staff. 8 9 MR. CICALE: No. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Why 11 don't we hear from you all on your initial 12 positions. Mr. Sheehan. 13 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. This docket 14 proposes a new kind of distribution rate that 15 will allow the Company to provide natural gas 16 service to areas that may not otherwise be 17 cost-justified. At bottom, we're proposing a 18 35 percent premium on the customer and 19 distribution charges to identified and selected 20 group or proposed area for these rates. And, 21 by charging that higher rate, it would 22 eliminate or reduce the CIAC that would 23 otherwise be required to extend a main to that 24 For example, a residential neighborhood area.

that's just a little too far off the main to otherwise be cost-justified to build.

In our filing, we've done some mock presentations of how this rate would be applied to a few specific neighborhoods to give examples of how the plan would work. We've estimated that, for a typical residential customer, this rate would increase their yearly bill from \$1,079 to \$1,271. So, in effect, \$150 per year increase would be the result of applying what we call the "MEP" rates to a customer.

Combined with a computer system that we are building with a contractor, ICF

International, that system is going to, as inputs, have all of our information of where the pipes are and where systems are, and we'll have customers names, addresses, fuel types, etcetera, will allow us to prepare what's called "heat maps". So, we can look at a map and decide where's the most profitable places or likely places we can expand gas to.

That system also will take customer calls. And, as people call and ask about gas,

either by calls, or through the e-mail, we can see where interest is, etcetera, and through that system we can really much better plan where we want to grow next year, year after, year after that.

Our presentation -- our testimony also has descriptions of the process we will go through to evaluate whether we're going to do a project under traditional rates. And, if it doesn't qualify costwise there, through MEP rates, and prioritize which ones we would try to do this year, next year, the year after.

So, all of that we think would result in a program that would provide just and reasonable rates for those customers. It would not be cross — it would avoid cross—subsidies, because these customers would be paying their share for these expansions. And it would allow us to provide gas to customers that would probably otherwise not be served or at much greater out—of—pocket costs.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Cicale.

MR. CICALE: Good afternoon,

Commissioners. The Office of Consumer Advocate at this time does not stand in support or against the Company's application. We'd like to further explore the terms of the Petition through some thorough discovery.

There are some aspects of the

Petition which the Office really likes, you

know, alleviating the barriers to entry to

customers. Those are absolutely good things.

And having the Company put forth a plan to best

manage its expansion that is favorable to

customers and fair to the Company.

We want to identify and alleviate any concerns where the Company may be required to show some upfront customer commitments, you know, finding the necessary amount of demand for, you know, activating certain tariff provisions for the Managed Expansion Program.

The Office really likes the fact that the Company is sensitive to cross-subsidization, because that is definitely a pet peeve in the Office of Consumer Advocate.

And, furthermore, we wondered whether there should be a certain investment threshold

for this, for this type of expansion.

And, yes. So, we stand ready to represent residential ratepayers on this

Petition. And we look forward to participating in meaningful discovery therein. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dexter.

MR. DEXTER: Thank you. Staff
believes the Managed Expansion Program has some
merits. We would like to take the -- make use
of the suspension period to investigate several
issues. In particular, Staff wants to look at
the 35 percent premium on delivery rates that
are going to be applied over a ten-year
program, with an eye towards ensuring that
there are no undue cross-subsidizations. And,
at the same time, that these rates provide a
sufficient economic incentive for the customers
to sign up and convert for natural gas.

Staff believes that we can complete its review in this case in the three-month suspension period, but notes that there is the opportunity to request a further five-month suspension period under RSA 378:6, I(b).

But, at this time, we're going to

1 endeavor to wrap this up by August 5th. Thank 2 you. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do Commissioner 4 Scott, Commissioner Bailey have any questions? CMSR. SCOTT: Sure. Thank you. 5 6 Mr. Sheehan, I was just curious. So, under the 7 MEP concept, ten years is a long time. So, somebody could sign up for this in all good 8 9 faith, but, as a resident, you know, I could 10 get a new job in six years I didn't anticipate, 11 I have to move, or a commercial interest could 12 just go out of business, unfortunately, and 13 close. What happens in those situations? 14 MR. SHEEHAN: My understanding, and 15 I'll get -- go ahead, Bill, if you want to 16 address that, if that's okay with the 17 Commission? 18 MR. CLARK: Yes. Sorry. So, the 19 rate will be in effect for ten years for that 20 structure, whether it be residential or commercial. The GPM calculation that we're 21

So, we'll only be using six years of commercial

using to say whether this is an economic

endeavor is the regular line extension policy.

22

23

1 on GPM and eight years of residential, even though the rate will be in effect for ten years 2 3 at that location. 4 CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. So, in my -thank you. In my hypothesis, if I'm a -- I'm 5 6 six years into my ten year contract, as a 7 resident, and I get a job on the West Coast and I leave, you're saying, whoever buys that 8 9 house, they will get that rate also? 10 MR. CLARK: They will be paying that 11 rate, correct. 12 CMSR. SCOTT: Interesting. Is there 13 some kind of disclosure you would anticipate, 14 so people would know that? 15 MR. SHEEHAN: I mean, that's a good 16 question. I mean, the tariff would be the 17 primary disclosure. There would be language in 18 the tariff. When that customer signs up for 19 service, after four years, the question I think 20 you're asking is, would we flag that and say 21 "You're going to get the rate that was there 22 before, which is X, and not Y." 23 Right. That's a good point. 24 CMSR. SCOTT: And, if I'm the one

buying it, and I don't know this is coming, 1 would that be a rude surprise to me? 2 3 MR. SHEEHAN: Again, the tariff provides the official notice. And we can 4 5 certainly look at how we can provide more hands-on notice during those transitions. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, might not that be a real estate -- a matter of 8 9 real estate law and a matter between the buyer 10 and seller? 11 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. And that's the 12 first thing that struck me. It's almost like a lead water -- lead-in-the-water kind of 13 14 disclosure that someone has to make. 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I mean, I 16 suppose that, when the name, the person 17 responsible for payment, taking over the 18 account, I mean, that might be a time when it 19 would trigger something for you to have some 20 communication with the new customer. But it's 21 not -- I am just musing. It's not at all clear 22 to me that this isn't your responsibility. 23 MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: But something to

think about. 1 MR. CLARK: The way we looked at it 2 3 internally was that, if somebody moves out of the residence currently, they call our call 4 5 center to activate service in their name, and they're at that time told "you're an R-3, 6 7 "you're a G-41 rate". They would be told what that tariff rate for that location is. If they 8 9 would ask, you know, for a copy of that, we 10 could certainly send out a copy of that with 11 that bill. 12 CMSR. BAILEY: Could I ask one? 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 14 Bailey. 15 CMSR. BAILEY: And, in the case that 16 we were just talking about, the new customer 17 buying the house wouldn't be required to buy 18 gas service, right? 19 MR. CLARK: Correct. 20 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 22 there's nothing else, then I think we will 23 leave you to your technical session. And 24 that's all for us. And, if you need us, we're

1	upstairs. Thank you all.
2	(Whereupon the prehearing
3	conference was adjourned at 1:44
4	p.m. and a technical session was
5	held thereafter.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	